R.R. No. 1677/05
Presented by Shri ...
dated 19-09-05

SINGLE BENCH
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1521 /2005

PETITIONER : Dr. Vinay Kumar Jayaswal,
S/o Shri R. C. Jayaswal,
Aged about 31 years,
Presently working as Medical
Officer at Primary Health Center,
Salka, District- Koria (C.G.).

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Department of
Health and Education, Mantralaya,
D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Dean,
Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru Memorial
College, Raipur (C.G.).

3. The Director,
Medical Education (Directorate)
near Mantrlaya, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITON UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

Received

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP 1521/05... **सन्** **200**

.....विरुद्ध.....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-04-2005 Shri Prashant Jayaswal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Ali Asgar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pramod Verma, Addl. Advocate General with Shri Parag Kotecha, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No. 1, 2 and 3. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that two seats of MS General Surgery were not disclosed as such the petitioner opted for diploma course. He further submitted that had he been in the waiting list, his name would have been shown in the waiting list at S.No.11. He also submitted that subsequently the petitioner came to know in this regard on or about 14th March, 2005. He also submitted that the petitioner is entitled for consideration of those seats, which have been reverted back. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner made a detailed representation before the Director, Medical Education, Raipur on 14-3-2005 (Annexure-P/5), but the same has not been decided so far. Shri Verma, learned Addl. Advocate General stated that if the representation has been received from the petitioner, it will be decided in accordance with law. On going through the record, it appears that the petitioner submitted the representation before the Director, Medical Education, Raipur, on 14-3-2005 and	

(पीछे देखिये)

(50)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

acknowledgement was also obtained, which is borne out from Annexure-P/5.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, ends of justice will be served, if a direction is given to the competent authority that the representation which was earlier submitted by the petitioner or if the petitioner files a fresh detailed representation raising his grievances within two days the same shall be considered and decided as early as possible preferably by 28th April, 2005, by a reasoned order in accordance with law. It is made clear that the decision taken by the competent authority on the representation shall be communicated to the petitioner. It is also made clear that if any grievance still remain subsists the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law including filing a petition afresh.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.1182/2005 and I.A.Nos. 2938/05 & 2939/05 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Gowri

(पीछे देखिये)